KAREN FANN SENATE PRESIDENT FIFTY-FIFTH LEGISLATURE 1700 WEST WASHINGTON, SENATE PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2844 PHONE: (602) 926-5874 TOLL FREE: 1-800-352-8404

kfann@azleg.gov DISTRICT 1



Arizona State Senate

May 12, 2021

Maricopa Board of Supervisors c/o Chairman Jack Sellers 301 West Jefferson Street, #10 Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Dear Chairman Sellers:

I am writing to seek your assistance and cooperation in the resolution of three (3) serious issues that have arisen in the course of the Senate's ongoing audit of the returns of the November 3, 2020 general election in Maricopa County.

I. Ongoing Non-Compliance with the Legislative Subpoenas

The first issue concerns Maricopa County's apparent intent to renege on its previous commitment to comply fully with the legislative subpoenas issued on January 13, 2021, which, as you know, Judge Thomason found were valid and enforceable.

To date, attorneys for Maricopa County have refused to produce virtual images of routers used in connection with the general election, relying on a conclusory and unsupported assertion that providing the routers would somehow "endanger the lives of law enforcement officers, their operations, or the protected health information and personal data of Maricopa County's citizens." If true, the fact that Maricopa County stores on its routers substantial quantities of citizens' and employees' highly sensitive personal information is an alarming indictment of the County's lax data security practices, rather than of the legislative subpoenas. Similarly, the County's assertion that producing the internet routers for inspection would cost up to \$6,000,000 seems at odds with Deputy County Attorney Joseph La Rue's prior representation to Audit Liaison Ken Bennett that the routers already had been disconnected from the County's network and were prepared for imminent delivery to the Senate.

Nevertheless, in an effort to resolve the dispute regarding production of the routers, we propose that agents of CyFIR, an experienced digital forensics firm and subcontractor of Cyber Ninjas, review virtual images of the relevant routers in Maricopa County facilities and in the presence of representatives of the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office. Such an arrangement would permit Maricopa County to retain custody and monitor the review of router data, while ensuring that the Senate may access the information it requires—and to which it is constitutionally entitled—to successfully complete its audit. The Senate has no interest in viewing or taking possession of any information that is unrelated to the administration of the 2020 general election.

Separately, Maricopa County has refused to provide the passwords necessary to access vote tabulation devices. Its attorneys' insistence that the County does not have custody or control of this information is belied by the County's conduct of its own audits, which, if they were as comprehensive as they purported to be, almost certainly would have entailed use of the passwords to examine the tabulation devices, and it strains credulity to posit that the County has no contractual right to obtain (*i.e.*, control of) password information from Dominion.

II. Chain of Custody and Ballot Organization Anomalies

As the audit has progressed, the Senate's contractors have become aware of apparent omissions, inconsistencies, and anomalies relating to Maricopa County's handling, organization, and storage of ballots. We hope you can assist us in understanding these issues, including specifically the following:

- 1. The County has not provided any chain-of-custody documentation for the ballots. Does such documentation exist, and if so, will it be produced?
- 2. The bags in which the ballots were stored are not sealed, although the audit team has found at the bottom of many boxes cut seals of the type that would have sealed a ballot bag. Why were these seals placed at the bottom of the boxes?
- 3. Batches within a box are frequently separated by only a divider without any indication of the corresponding batch numbers. In some cases, the batch dividers are missing altogether. This lack of organization has significantly complicated and delayed the audit team's ballot processing efforts. What are the County's procedures for sorting, organizing, and packaging ballot batches?
- 4. Most of the ballot boxes were sealed merely with regular tape and not secured by any kind of tamper-evident seal. Is that the County's customary practice for storing ballots?
- 5. The audit team has encountered a significant number of instances in which there is a disparity between the actual number of ballots contained in a batch and the total denoted on the pink report slip accompanying the batch. In most of these instances, the total on the pink report slip is greater than the number of ballots in the batch, although there are a few instances in which the total is lower. What are the reasons for these discrepancies? For your reference, please see several illustrative (*i.e.*, not comprehensive) examples in the table below:


Pallet	Ballot Type	Batch	Pink Slip Total	Actual Total	Discrepancy
5	EV	2104	200	198	-2
5	EV	9276	200	165	-35
15	EV	9278	200	187	-13
15	EV	1643	200	218	18
7	EV	6359	197	187	-10

For your convenience, images of the corresponding pink report slips are attached in **Exhibit A**.

III. <u>Deleted Databases</u>

We have recently discovered that the entire "Database" directory from the D drive of the machine "EMSPrimary" has been deleted. This removes election related details that appear to have been covered by the subpoena. In addition, the main database for the Election Management System (EMS) Software, "Results Tally and Reporting," is not located anywhere on the EMSPrimary machine, even though all of the EMS Clients reference that machine as the location of the database. This suggests that the main database for all election related data for the November 2020 General Election has been removed. Can you please advise as to why these folders were deleted, and whether there are any backups that may contain the deleted folders?

The image below shows the location of the files known to be deleted. In addition, the main database for "Results Tally and Reporting" is not present.



I am hopeful that we can constructively resolve these issues and questions without recourse to additional subpoenas or other compulsory process. To that end, I invite you and any other officers or employees of Maricopa County (to include officials in the Elections Department) who possess knowledge or information concerning the matters set forth above to a meeting at the Arizona State Capitol on <u>Tuesday</u>, <u>May 18</u>, <u>2021 at 1:00 p.m.</u> in Hearing Room 109. Chairman Petersen, former Secretary Bennett and I will attend the meeting, which will be live-streamed to the public.

Please let me know at your earliest convenience whether you accept my invitation and, if so, which Maricopa County personnel will attend.

Thank you for your cooperation on these important issues of public concern.

Serator Karen Fann

Respectfully,

Karen Fann, President

Arizona State Senate

Exhibit A

EARLY	100 mm to 100 mm	oday's Date	500020	Faca.		SUNN M		
OTING REPORT	Election Type: PRIMARY GENERAL SPECIAL JURISDICTION	Election	00	Electi /3,	77	Tray# 2104	197	
	SEQ:	1-50			01-150	151-20	Combine	
OUNT	Column:	A 50	E	-	С	D	(A-D)	
1 /	Total # of AFFIDAVITS		50		50	50	200	
2	Total REJECTED	٥	Q		0	0	0	
υσ gallo ε	Total to DUPLICATION Total to TABULATION		0	0	0	0	0	
			50	5	50	50	204	
Thy would I duplicate a ballot? E. Damaged Ballot: Torn or cut, sticky, timing marks not visible, spill that results in a stain overlapping timing marks, tape, etc. F. Erroneous Ballot: Alternate Format (e.g., Braille, Lg. Print), Precinct and/or Ballot Color DOES NOT match affidavit information. If party on affidavit does not match party on ballot, ask for assistant.		Colum	PREC		DUPL DAVIT ENVI			
		vit vit						
Why would I rejo G. Multiple Ball Ask for assi- contains mu			REJ	ECTED		REJECTED on	Illots from "H" to line 2 above) Reason Bel	
A Park of Land Carlo Contract Contract Contract Con-	ntained no ballot from current ele	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE						
	from current ele		Paperclip t	o Tray Rep	oort Pini	c − Rubber ba	nd with Affidav	

.

EARLY VOTING REPORT	Board # 13 Election Typ PRIMARY GENERAL SPECIAL JURISDICT	//-	20 ection Dat	5.Ja	lection#	Boardworker Gray#		
	SEC	at a series	50 S	7-100 B	/0/-/5 C	0 151 2cc	Combine Totals (A-D)	d
1	Total # of AFFIDAVITS			50	50	50	200	
2	†otal REJECTED	ϵ)	4	0	. (0	
3 allog DI	Total to	e	7	المال	(x)	0		
4	Total to	150	5	<u></u>	50	150		
not visible, sp stain overlap tape, etc. F. Erroneous Ball Alternate For Print), Precin Color DOES N Information.	lot: ticky, timing m ill that results bing timing ma ot: mat (e.g., Brail tt and/or Ballo OT match affic f party on affic ch party on bal	arks in a rks, le, Lg. t lavit	olumn	PER A PER A RECINCT/I	DUI FFIDAVIT EN			
Why would I reje	ots:	44906	R	EJECTED		r Total # of balls	ots from "H" to	
Ask for assis	tance if affidav tiple ballots.	it	Colum		ID NUMI		Reason Below	

EARLY VOTING	Board #	Today's Date	O BAR	lworker#1	Boardworke The Helphs	mich
REPORT	☐ PRIMARY ※ GENERAL ☐ SPECIAL ☐ JURISDICTION	1/3= vin	O. 2. P	or Jurisdiction		03)
	SEQ: Column:	1-50 A	S1-100 B	1011s	50 /5/20 D	Combined Totals (A-D)
1	Total # of AFFIDAVITS	50	SÒ	50	50	200
2	Total REJECTED	6	6	0	0	0
Ballots C	Total to UPLICATION	Ø	0	0	Θ	θ
4 4 7	Total to ABULATION	50	50	50	50	207.1
Torn or cut, s	licate a ballot? liot: sticky, timing mark: bill that results in a ping timing marks,	Column	PER PRECINCT/	AFFIDAVIT EN		e 3 above) ☑ Reason Below E F
Print), Precin Color <u>DOES N</u> information.	mat (e.g., Braille, L ct and/or Ballot IOT match affidavit If party on affidavit ch party on ballot.					
	ots: tance if affidavit		REJECTE	be	Total # of balla REJECTED on lin	ots from "H" to ne 2 above) Reason Below
A. Insufficient: Affidavit conballot is not f	tiple ballots. tained no ballot or rom current election		amn	ID NUMB	ER	G H
	ALESS AND THE STREET,	British and Carlotte	Control to the same			TANK MARKET STREET, ST

PRIMARY GENERAL SPECIAL JURISDICTION SEQ:	11-3		Electi	on# 7	Tray# 1643	-
SEQ:				liction		111
Column:	1-50 A	0 51	/-/00 // B	01-150 C	157-200 D	Combin Totals (A-D)
Total # of FIDAVITS	50	5	0	50	50	200
Total EJECTED	0		e-	D -	0	0-
Total to	Ð	+	9	ð	2	0
Total to ULATION	50	5	0	50	50	200
te a ballot?		OUPLIC	DUPLICATED on line			3 above)
y, timing marks hat results in a		mn PRI		COLOR	PARTY	Reason Beli
nd/or Ballot match affidavit arty on affidavit party on ballot,						
something?				(Enter T	otal # of ballo	ts from "H" t
ce if affidavit		Column		be R	EJECTED on lin	
ned no ballot or						
	Total to LICATION Total to LICATION Total to ULATION te a ballot? sy, timing marks, that results in a g timing marks, and the sellent match affidavit arty on affidavit party on ballot, something? something?	Total to LICATION D Total to LICATION D Total to LICATION SO Ite a ballot? Column arks, that results in a g timing marks, that results in a g timing marks, and/or ballot match affidavit arty on affidavit party on ballot, arty on ballot or m current election.	Total to LICATION DUPLIC Column PRI Column P	Total to ULATION DO SO Total to ULATION SO SO te a ballot? Column PRECINCT/DIST Column PRECINCT/DIST PRECINCT/DIST Total to ULATION SO SO DUPLICATION Column PRECINCT/DIST PRECINCT/DIST Total to ULATION Column PRECINCT/DIST PRECINCT/DIST Total to ULATION PER AFFIC PRECINCT/DIST Column PRECINCT/DIST REJECTED Column II Colu	Total to ULATION D DUPLICATION (Enter DUPLICATION) te a ballot? Column PRECINCT/DIST COLOR PREAFFDAVIT ENVEL PRECINCT/DIST COLOR total to ULATION (Enter DUPLICATION) PREAFFDAVIT ENVEL PRECINCT/DIST COLOR Total to ULATION (Enter DUPLICATION) PREAFFDAVIT ENVEL PRECINCT/DIST COLOR Total to ULATION (Enter DUPLICATION) PRECINCT/DIST COLOR Total to ULATION (Enter Total Development of the Color of the	Total to ULATION DO SO

Total # of AFFIDAVITS AFFIDAVITS SO SO SO SO SO SO SO	SEQ:	1 - <	0 51	-/00 / B	0/-/ <i>G</i>) C	151-200 D	Tot	bined tals -D)
Total to DUPLICATION Total to TABULATION Why would I duplicate a ballot? E. Damaged Ballot: Torn or cut, sticky, timing marks not visible, spill that results in a stain overlapping timing marks, tape, etc. Alternate Format (e.g., Braille, Le. Print), Precinct and/or Ballot Color DOES NOT match affidavit information, If party on affidavit information, If party on affidavit does not match party on ballot, ask for assistant. Why would I reject something? G. Multiple Ballots: Ask for assistant. REJECTED (Enter Total # of ballots to be DUPLICATION OF PARTY E F PER AFFIDAUTI E F F Column PER AFFIDAUTI E F F Column PRECINCT/DIST COLOR PARTY E F F Column DUPLICATION (Enter Total # of ballots to be DUPLICATED on line 3 above) Column DUPLICATION (Enter Total # of ballots from "H" to be REJECTED on line 2 above) Column DUPLICATION (Enter Total # of ballots from "H" to be REJECTED on line 2 above) Column DUPLICATION PRECINCT/DIST COLOR PARTY E F COlumn DUPLICATION (Enter Total # of ballots from "H" to be REJECTED on line 2 above) Column DUPLICATION PRECINCT/DIST COLOR PARTY E F COlumn DUPLICATION (Enter Total # of ballots from "H" to be REJECTED on line 2 above) Column DUPLICATION PRECINCT/DIST COLOR PARTY E F COlumn DUPLICATION (Enter Total # of ballots from "H" to be REJECTED on line 2 above) COLUMN COLUMN DUPLICATION DUPLICATION (Enter Total # of ballots from "H" to be REJECTED on line 2 above) COLUMN COLUMN DUPLICATION DUPLICATION (Enter Total # of ballots from "H" to be REJECTED on line 2 above) COLUMN COLUMN DUPLICATION DUPLICATION (Enter Total # of ballots from "H" to be REJECTED on line 2 above) COLUMN COLUMN DUPLICATION DUPLICATION (Enter Total # of ballots from "H" to be REJECTED on line 2 above) COLUMN COLUMN COLUMN DUPLICATION DUPLICATION DUPLICATION PRECINCT/DIST COLOR PARTY E F PRECINCT/DIST DUPLICATION DUPLICATION (Enter Total # of ballots from "H" to be REJECTED on line 2 above) COLUMN COLUMN DUPLICATION DUPLICATION DUPL		576) 3	0	<u>ا</u>	50)	20	10
## Total to TABULATION ### Why would I duplicate a ballot? ### E. Damaged Ballot: Torn or cut, sticky, timing marks, not visible, spill that results in a stain overlapping timing marks, tape, etc. #### F. Erroneaus Ballot: Alternate Format (e.g., Braille, Lg. Print), Precinct and/or Ballot Color DOES NOT match affidavit information. If party on affidavit does not match party on ballot, ask for assistant. ###################################		·Ù		<i>b</i>	0-	t)	t	σ <u> </u>
Why would I duplicate a ballot? E. Damaged Ballot: Torn or cut, sticky, timing marks not visible, spill that results in a stain overlapping timing marks, tape, etc. F. Erroneous Ballot: Alternate Format (e.g., Braille, Lg. Print), Precinct and/or Ballot. Color DOES NOT match affidavit information. If party on ballot, ask for assistant. Why would I reject something? G. Multiple Ballots: Ask for assistance if affidavit contains multiple ballots. Alternate Format (e.g., Braille, Lg. Print), Precinct and/or Ballot. Color DOES NOT match affidavit does not match party on ballot, ask for assistant. Why would I reject something? G. Multiple Ballots: Ask for assistance if affidavit contains multiple ballots. Alternate Format (e.g., Braille, Lg. Print), Precinct and/or Ballot for Total # of ballots from "H" to be REJECTED on line 2 above) Column ID NUMBER Affidavit contained no ballot or	3 Total to DUPLICATION	θ			0-	12		3
Why would I duplicate a ballot? E. Damaged Ballot: Torn or cut, sticky, timing marks not visible, spill that results in a stain overlapping timing marks, tape, etc. F. Erroneous Ballot: Alternate Format (e.g., Braille, Lg. Print), Precinct and/or Ballot Color DOES NOT match affidavit information. If party on affidavit does not match party on ballot, ask for assistant. Why would I reject something? G. Multiple Ballots: Ask for assistance if affidavit contains multiple ballots. H. Insufficient: Affidavit contained no ballot or		50) [19	50	48	1-7	7
Ask for assistance if affidavit contains multiple ballots. Column ID NUMBER Reason Below G H H. Insufficient: Affidavit contained no ballot or	E. Damaged Ballot: Torn or cut, sticky, timing marks not visible, spill that results in a stain overlapping timing marks, tape, etc. F. Erroneous Ballot: Alternate Format (e.g., Braille, Lg Print), Precinct and/or Ballot Color DOES NOT match affidavit information. If party on affidavit does not match parry on ballot, ask for assistant. Why would I reject something? G. Multiple Ballots:		PRI	CINCT/DIST	OAVIT ENVEL COLOR WHT WHT PUR	PARTY PARTY Party part # of ballot	Reason B	F
White - Place on Ballots in Tray Yellow - Poperclip to Tray Report Pink - Rubber band with Affidavits	contains multiple ballots. H. Insufficient: Affidavit contained no ballot or ballot is not from current elections.				D NUMBER	<u>v</u>	Reason I	Below