

KAREN FANN  
SENATE PRESIDENT  
FIFTY-FIFTH LEGISLATURE  
1700 WEST WASHINGTON, SENATE  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2844  
PHONE: (602) 926-5874  
TOLL FREE: 1-800-352-8404  
kfann@azleg.gov  
DISTRICT 1



COMMITTEES:  
Rules, Chairman

## *Arizona State Senate*

May 12, 2021

Maricopa Board of Supervisors  
c/o Chairman Jack Sellers  
301 West Jefferson Street, #10  
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Dear Chairman Sellers:

I am writing to seek your assistance and cooperation in the resolution of three (3) serious issues that have arisen in the course of the Senate's ongoing audit of the returns of the November 3, 2020 general election in Maricopa County.

### **I. Ongoing Non-Compliance with the Legislative Subpoenas**

The first issue concerns Maricopa County's apparent intent to renege on its previous commitment to comply fully with the legislative subpoenas issued on January 13, 2021, which, as you know, Judge Thomason found were valid and enforceable.

To date, attorneys for Maricopa County have refused to produce virtual images of routers used in connection with the general election, relying on a conclusory and unsupported assertion that providing the routers would somehow "endanger the lives of law enforcement officers, their operations, or the protected health information and personal data of Maricopa County's citizens." If true, the fact that Maricopa County stores on its routers substantial quantities of citizens' and employees' highly sensitive personal information is an alarming indictment of the County's lax data security practices, rather than of the legislative subpoenas. Similarly, the County's assertion that producing the internet routers for inspection would cost up to \$6,000,000 seems at odds with Deputy County Attorney Joseph La Rue's prior representation to Audit Liaison Ken Bennett that the routers already had been disconnected from the County's network and were prepared for imminent delivery to the Senate.

Nevertheless, in an effort to resolve the dispute regarding production of the routers, we propose that agents of CyFIR, an experienced digital forensics firm and subcontractor of Cyber Ninjas, review virtual images of the relevant routers in Maricopa County facilities and in the presence of representatives of the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office. Such an arrangement would permit Maricopa County to retain custody and monitor the review of router data, while ensuring that the Senate may access the information it requires—and to which it is constitutionally entitled—to successfully complete its audit. The Senate has no interest in viewing or taking possession of any information that is unrelated to the administration of the 2020 general election.

Separately, Maricopa County has refused to provide the passwords necessary to access vote tabulation devices. Its attorneys' insistence that the County does not have custody or control of this information is belied by the County's conduct of its own audits, which, if they were as comprehensive as they purported to be, almost certainly would have entailed use of the passwords to examine the tabulation devices, and it strains credulity to posit that the County has no contractual right to obtain (*i.e.*, control of) password information from Dominion.

## **II. Chain of Custody and Ballot Organization Anomalies**

As the audit has progressed, the Senate's contractors have become aware of apparent omissions, inconsistencies, and anomalies relating to Maricopa County's handling, organization, and storage of ballots. We hope you can assist us in understanding these issues, including specifically the following:

1. The County has not provided any chain-of-custody documentation for the ballots. Does such documentation exist, and if so, will it be produced?
2. The bags in which the ballots were stored are not sealed, although the audit team has found at the bottom of many boxes cut seals of the type that would have sealed a ballot bag. Why were these seals placed at the bottom of the boxes?
3. Batches within a box are frequently separated by only a divider without any indication of the corresponding batch numbers. In some cases, the batch dividers are missing altogether. This lack of organization has significantly complicated and delayed the audit team's ballot processing efforts. What are the County's procedures for sorting, organizing, and packaging ballot batches?
4. Most of the ballot boxes were sealed merely with regular tape and not secured by any kind of tamper-evident seal. Is that the County's customary practice for storing ballots?
5. The audit team has encountered a significant number of instances in which there is a disparity between the actual number of ballots contained in a batch and the total denoted on the pink report slip accompanying the batch. In most of these instances, the total on the pink report slip is greater than the number of ballots in the batch, although there are a few instances in which the total is lower. What are the reasons for these discrepancies? For your reference, please see several illustrative (*i.e.*, not comprehensive) examples in the table below:



\* \* \*

I am hopeful that we can constructively resolve these issues and questions without recourse to additional subpoenas or other compulsory process. To that end, I invite you and any other officers or employees of Maricopa County (to include officials in the Elections Department) who possess knowledge or information concerning the matters set forth above to a meeting at the Arizona State Capitol on **Tuesday, May 18, 2021 at 1:00 p.m.** in Hearing Room 109. Chairman Petersen, former Secretary Bennett and I will attend the meeting, which will be live-streamed to the public.

Please let me know at your earliest convenience whether you accept my invitation and, if so, which Maricopa County personnel will attend.

Thank you for your cooperation on these important issues of public concern.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Senator Karen Fann".

Karen Fann, President  
Arizona State Senate

# **Exhibit A**


1	Total # of AFFIDAVITS	50	50	50	50	200
2	Total REJECTED	0	0	0	0	0
3	Total to DUPLICATION	0	0	0	0	0
4	Total to TABULATION	50	50	50	50	200

Affidavit contained no ballot or  
ballot is not from current election.

[illegible]

**White** – Place on Ballots in Tray      **Yellow** - Paperclip to Tray Report      **Pink** – Rubber band with Affidavits

EARLY VOTING REPORT	Board #	Today's Date	Boardworker #1	Boardworker #2	BIN #
	13	11-06-20	S. Nolas	E. Graciano	
	Election Type:	Election Date	Election #	Tray #	
	<input type="checkbox"/> PRIMARY	11-03-20			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GENERAL				
	<input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL				
	<input type="checkbox"/> JURISDICTION	Write Name for Special or Jurisdiction			



1	Total # of AFFIDAVITS	50	50	50	50	200
2	Total REJECTED	0	0	0	0	0
3	Total to DUPLICATION	0	0	0	0	0
4	Total to TABULATION	50	50	50	50	200

**E. Damaged Ballot:**

**F. Erroneous Ballot:**

[illegible]

**G. Multiple Ballots:**


**H. Insufficient:**

[illegible]

**White** – Place on Ballots in Tray      **Yellow** - Paperclip to Tray Report      **Pink** – Rubber band with Affidavits



EARLY VOTING REPORT	Board #	Today's Date	Boardworker #1	Boardworker #2	BIN #  1031
	Election Type:	Election Date	Election #	Tray #	
	<input type="checkbox"/> PRIMARY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GENERAL <input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL <input type="checkbox"/> JURISDICTION	Write Name for Special or Jurisdiction			



1	Total # of AFFIDAVITS	50	50	50	50	200
2	Total REJECTED	0	0	0	0	0
3	Total to DUPLICATION	0	0	0	0	0
4	Total to TABULATION	50	50	50	50	

Torn or cut, sticky, timing marks not visible, spill that results in a stain overlapping timing marks, tape, etc.

Alternate Format (e.g., Braille, Lg. Print), Precinct and/or Ballot Color **DOES NOT** match affidavit information. If party on affidavit does not match party on ballot, **ask for assistant.**

**Ask for assistance** if affidavit contains multiple ballots.

Affidavit contained no ballot or  
ballot is not from current election.

[illegible]

Column		ID NUMBER	Reason Below	
			G	H

**White** – Place on Ballots in Tray      **Yellow** - Paperclip to Tray Report      **Pink** – Rubber band with Affidavits



### Why would I duplicate a ballot?

**E. Damaged Ballot:**

Torn or cut, sticky, timing marks not visible, spill that results in a stain overlapping timing marks, tape, etc.

#### F. Erroneous Ballot:

Alternate Format (e.g., Braille, Lg. Print), Precinct and/or Ballot Color **DOES NOT** match affidavit information. If party on affidavit does not match party on ballot, ask for assistant.

**Why would I reject something?**

### G. Multiple Ballots:

**Ask for assistance** if affidavit contains multiple ballots.

**H. Insufficient:**

Affidavit contained no ballot or  
ballot is not from current election.

<b>REJECTED</b>		(Enter Total # of ballots from "H" to be REJECTED on line 2 above)	
Column	ID NUMBER	Reason Below	
		G	H

**White – Place on Ballots in Tray**

**Yellow - Paperclip to Tray Report**

**Pink** – Rubber band with Affidavits

# EARLY VOTING REPORT

Board # <b>30</b>	Today's Date <b>10-30-20</b>	Boardworker #1 <b>S. STEPHAN</b>	Boardworker #2 <b>P. TOWNE</b>
Election Type: <input type="checkbox"/> PRIMARY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GENERAL <input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL <input type="checkbox"/> JURISDICTION	Election Date <b>11-3-20</b>	Election #	Tray #
Write Name for Special or Jurisdiction			

BIN #  
**609**



SEQ:	<b>1 - 50</b>	<b>51-100</b>	<b>101-150</b>	<b>151-200</b>	Combined Totals (A-D)
Column:	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	

<b>1</b>	Total # of AFFIDAVITS	<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>200</b>
<b>2</b>	Total REJECTED	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>3</b>	Total to DUPLICATION	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	Total to TABULATION	<b>50</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>197</b>

Why would I duplicate a ballot?

## E. Damaged Ballot:

Torn or cut, sticky, timing marks not visible, spill that results in a stain overlapping timing marks, tape, etc.

## F. Erroneous Ballot:

Alternate Format (e.g., Braille, Lg. Print), Precinct and/or Ballot Color **DOES NOT** match affidavit information. If party on affidavit does not match party on ballot, ask for assistant.

DUPLICATION				(Enter Total # of ballots to be DUPLICATED on line 3 above)	
Column	PER AFFIDAVIT ENVELOPE			Reason Below	
	PRECINCT/DIST	COLOR	PARTY	E	F
<b>B</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>WHT</b>	<b>-</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>D</b>	<b>358</b>	<b>WHT</b>	<b>-</b>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>D</b>	<b>458</b>	<b>PUR</b>	<b>-</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Why would I reject something?

## G. Multiple Ballots:

Ask for assistance if affidavit contains multiple ballots.

## H. Insufficient:

Affidavit contained no ballot or ballot is not from current election.

REJECTED		(Enter Total # of ballots from "H" to be REJECTED on line 2 above)	
Column	ID NUMBER	Reason Below	
		G	H

White - Place on Ballots in Tray    Yellow - Paperclip to Tray Report    Pink - Rubber band with Affidavits

